

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pagent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/825,707	04/04/2001	Simon Peter Valentine	01-394	01-394 3102	
7590 11/02/2005 McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff			EXAMINER		
			EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A		
32nd Floor 300 S. Wacker	Drive		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 6	60606		2157		
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2009	DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/825,707	VALENTINE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hussein A. El-chanti	2157		

Defere the Filing of an Annual Priof		·					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hussein A. El-chanti	2157					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must lead. 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		P 4 4 1 1	(DTOL 204)				
	1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10 and 12-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
10. [] The amidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	cned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
		,					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Newly amended claims include new limitations such as using HTTP to discover phones which would require further search and consideration by the examiner.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE